Appendix 3: Recommendations and Responsible Entities

			Presidents				si School			, ,	'ment	CETL/CE.	Libraries	
		UNB/AUNRT	VP-A, VP-S.	S / RAY	Senates	Dean, Graduas	Dean's Council	Deans & Chairs	Loy	Faculty/Debarr.	New Joint &	CETLICELL	Libraries	
General Recommend	dations		1		1	,	,	1			•			1
Recommendation 2	TMI beyond normal work conforms to Article 38	•	•											Monitor statistics on contracts to develop and deliver TMI courses
Recommendation 3a	Develop agreement templates and policy								•			*		Develop policy to make practice broadly consistent across
Policy Context for TI	MI Course Development						1							campus Questions remain, for example, on how to make practices broadly consistent across both
	Develop appropriate academic policy & approvals			*		*							*	campuses, especially as relates to technology fees, revenue streams, course approval
	TMI Interaction Policy, Senate		A		_				•					
				+					<u> </u>			*		processes. The Impact of Technology Committee recommends a policy framework for
Policy Statement 2	I MI best practices				•				•	•				curriculum
Policy Statement 3	TMI Editorial Policy								•			*		development in online format and consistency for courses that are offered in face-to-face,
Recommendation 5	Joint Standing Committee responsible for policy	A												blended or completely online formats.
Recommendation 6	Reference to Policy in CA & Joint Standing Comm.													May require an MOU in next Collective Agreement
Incentives for Course	e Development		•	-	-		•					-	-	
Recommendation 7	Faculty is creator, administration is publisher	A					•							No policies yet, but the spirit of Recommendation 7 is covered in practice
Recommendation 8	Elements of TMI Course Development Agreement						*		*		*	*		Unclear now provision of support "beyond that normally provided" is covered; CA changes needed to support development of TMI materials as evidence of academic competence
Recommendation 9	Standard compensation to course creator				•		•							Monitor statistics, clarify extra resources, review of courses by library staff
Recommendation 10	Alternative compensation for 3rd contractual party				•		•							To be referred to Joint Committee on External Partners
Course Approval														Tace-to-tace courses being developed for online delivery should be flagged for curriculum
	Adhere to normal collegial approval processes									A				review
Recommendation 13	Peer review of content when significant TMI added													Practice is inconsistent; policy development to provide parameters (see recommendations 4-6
Recommendation 14	Equivalence of learning, student opinion surveys						•		A	*		*		Is the student opinion survey under revision? Is there an opportunity to check online response
Course Administration	on		•											
Recommendation 15	TMI not restricted to any unit			•		•	•					•	•	Review of the issues in recommendations 15 and 16; confusion over what type of courses are
	Course administration not restricted to any unit			•		•	•					•	•	included under this policy: online, audio or video.
TMI Course Delivery														I his policy issue is outstanding – see Recommendation 4-6. Other aspects reflect current
	All TMI to follow Course Delivery Agreements					•	•			•		*	•	practice.
	ericton Coordination		_		T	1		1		1	1			Coordination between the two campuses is uneven across programs. The Committee
	Coordination between two campuses							•					•	recommends that this be addressed as part of the Policy Development envisaged in
Other Issues Recommendation 20	Quality control													Recommendations 4-6. Committee recommends that the Dean be responsible for quality control.
	Workload issues and communication													Information flow may still be a problem - policy development may assist with this
Recommendation 22														Requires further discussion - it is unclear who should or is being informed
1 CCOMMENTALION 22	our asing in / contracting out													Trequires lettres diseased. The arrestal wife should of its being informed

Key









